## Capture Methane Released from Pipeline Liquid Storage Tanks



Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs) for Reducing Methane Emissions

PRO Fact Sheet No. 504

Applicable sector(s):  □ Production □ Processing □ Transmission and □  Partners reporting this PRO: Columbia Gulf Transmission	Compressors/Engines Dehydrators Dehydrators Pipelines Pneumatics/Controls Tanks
Other related PROs: Install Pressurized Storage of Condensa	Valves $\Box$
Technology/Practice Overview  Description  Condensate liquids in produced gas are captured by a mist eliminator filter/coalescer ahead of the first compressor station in transmission pipelines. Methane, as well as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), are saturated in the condensate liquids at the high pressure. When the condensate liquids are transferred to atmospheric storage tanks, the methane, VOCs, and HAPs flash from the stored liquid and are usually vented to the atmosphere.  One partner reported capturing and flaring the flash gases from its atmospheric condensate storage tanks. This practice reduces methane, VOC, and HAP emissions.	Methane Savings: 160 Mcf per year  Costs  Capital Costs (including installation)
Operating Requirements Fuel gas for one or two flare pilot burners is needed for the flare Applicability This practice is applicable at the first compressor station in the  Methane Emissions Reductions	

Methane emissions savings are calculated for capturing and flaring flash gas from one condensate storage tank, assuming that the condensate is at 400 to 700 psig and releases up to 250 scf of methane per barrel. One partner reported methane savings of 334 Mcf per year from two condensate storage tanks.

## **Economic Analysis**

## Basis for Costs and Savings

The methane savings of 160 Mcf per year are estimated for one atmospheric condensate storage tank that receives 1.75 billion barrels per day (bbl/d).

## Discussion

The operating cost for this practice is based on a two-pilot flare that consumes fuel gas at 70 scf per hour per pilot. There is no capital cost with an existing flare, and no payback associated with implementing this practice. The primary benefit of the project is for environmental purposes.

Last updated: September 2004